Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Neil M. Glagovich,<sup>a</sup> Elizabeth M. Reed,<sup>a</sup> Guy Crundwell,<sup>a</sup>\* James B. Updegraff III,<sup>b</sup> Matthias Zeller<sup>b</sup> and Allen D. Hunter<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Central Connecticut State University, New Britain, CT 06053, USA, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Youngstown State University, One University Plaza, Youngstown, Ohio 44555-3663, USA

Correspondence e-mail: crundwellg@mail.ccsu.edu

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.016 Å R factor = 0.047 wR factor = 0.117 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# The title compound, $C_{32}H_{20}N_2$ , was synthesized by the *p*-toluenesulfonic acid-assisted Schiff base reaction between 9-fluorenone and *o*-phenylenediamine. The molecule crystallizes in a centrosymmetric space group and is located on a twofold rotation axis. The two fluoren-9-ylidene moieties adopt a *trans* configuration as substituents on the linking benzene ring, making the entire molecule chiral.

N,N'-Bis(9H-fluoren-9-ylidene)benzene-1,2-diamine

Received 8 March 2005 Accepted 1 April 2005 Online 9 April 2005

#### Comment

Diimines have been used as ligands in transition metal catalysis for a number of years (Fache *et al.*, 2000; Müller & Fruit, 2003; Walsh *et al.*, 2003). Most of the diimines used, however, are achiral (Costa *et al.*, 2002; Desvergnes-Breuil *et al.*, 2003; Wilker *et al.*, 1991) with a few exceptions (Mimoun *et al.*, 1999; Chavarot *et al.*, 2003). These exceptional chiral diimines have all been derivatives of *trans*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane. We present the solid state structure of a chiral diimine, (I), consisting of aromatic subunits.



N,N'-Bis(9*H*-fluoren-9-ylidene)benzene-1,2-diamine (Fig. 1 and Table 1), is a chiral aromatic diimine crystallizing as a racemic mixture in the centrosymmetric space group C2/c. The molecule, which sits on a site of twofold rotation symmetry,



#### Figure 1

A view of (I) (Farrugia, 1997). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity and the numbering scheme is given for the asymmetric unit.

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved





owes its chirality to the trans orientation of the two fluoren-9ylidene moieties with respect to the central benzene ring (Fig. 2). The angle between the mean plane of the fluoren-9vlidene portion and the bridging benzene ring is 76.89 (8)° and the *trans* orientation makes bidentate metal binding from this ligand improbable. The steric bulk of the two fluoren-9-ylidene units around the central benzene ring precludes the two groups from adopting a syn geometry. A variety of aromatic imines have been synthesized in this laboratory (Glagovich et al., 2004a,b,c), but the title compound represents the first diimine synthesized. Several more diimines related to the title compound (via the reactions of 9-fluorenone with both m- and *p*-phenylenediamine) are currently being synthesized. These compounds will probably be achiral, as the two fluoren-9-ylidene moieties are further apart and thus less likely to interfere with each other sterically.

### **Experimental**

To a 100 ml round-bottomed flask equipped with a Hickman still and a reflux condenser were added o-phenylenediamine (0.5 g, 4.6 mmol), 9-fluorenone (1.5 g, 8.3 mmol), p-toluenesulfonic acid (0.0004 g, 2.3 µmol) and toluene (40 ml). The resulting mixture was heated with refluxing for 24 h. After this time, the orange solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, producing a red solid. The solid was purified by flash chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 90% hexanes-ethyl acetate), yielding 0.93 g of N,N'-bis(9H-fluoren-9-ylidene)benzene-1,2diamine (overall yield of 46.5%) as a red solid containing many crystals with well defined morphologies. Analysis:  $R_f 0.32$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 90% hexanes-ethyl acetate); m.p. 485; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3077, 3056, 3039, 1651, 1642, 1463, 1450, 1377, 1307, 1102, 944, 791, 727 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.690 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.525 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.485 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.342 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.300 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.235 (dd, 2H, J = 7.0 and 3.6 Hz), 7.186 (t, 2H, J = 9.2 Hz), 7.176 (t, 2H, J = 9.2 Hz), 7.090 (dd, 2H, J = 7.0 and 3.6 Hz), 6.991 (t,

# 2H, J = 7.5 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): $\delta$ 163.92, 163.02, 143.82, 141.92, 141.08, 137.88, 131.92, 131.74, 128.45, 127.91, 127.19, 125.14, 123.68, 120.25, 119.93, 119.56; UV/vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, $\lambda_{max}$ , log $\varepsilon$ ): 406, 4685 nm; MS, calculated for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>: *M*+: 432, measured: 432.

 $D_x = 1.331 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 9442

reflections

 $\theta = 2.6-32.1^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 100 (2) K

Irregular fragment, red  $0.48 \times 0.20 \times 0.14$  mm

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{32}H_{20}N_2 \\ M_r = 432.50 \\ \text{Monoclinic, } C2/c \\ a = 14.9807 (6) \text{ Å} \\ b = 15.5273 (6) \text{ Å} \\ c = 9.4228 (4) \text{ Å} \\ \beta = 99.962 (1)^{\circ} \\ V = 2158.79 (15) \text{ Å}^3 \\ Z = 4 \end{array}$ 

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX<br/>diffractometer2685 independent reflections<br/>2573 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ <br/> $\omega$  scans $\omega$  scans $R_{int} = 0.023$ <br/> $\theta_{max} = 28.3^{\circ}$ <br/> $h = -19 \rightarrow 19$ <br/> $T_{min} = 0.903, T_{max} = 0.986$ <br/> $l = -12 \rightarrow 12$ Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ w = 1 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$ + $wR(F^2) = 0.117$ whereS = 1.07 $(\Delta/\sigma)$ 2685 reflections $\Delta\rho_{max}$ 154 parameters $\Delta\rho_{min}$ H-atom parameters constrainedExtinct

#### $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0569P)^{2} + 2.0007P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.40 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none

 Table 1

 Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

N1-C9	1.2818 (15)	C9-C10	1.4980 (15)
N1-C14	1.4133 (14)	C14-C14 <sup>i</sup>	1.405 (2)
C9-C13	1.4868 (15)		
C9-N1-C14	120.48 (10)	C15-C14-C14i	118.90 (7)
N1-C9-C13	121.49 (10)	C15-C14-N1	120.08 (10)
N1-C9-C10	132.69 (10)	C14 <sup>i</sup> -C14-N1	120.67 (6)
C13-C9-C10	105.68 (9)		
	. 1		

Symmetry code: (i) -x, y,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

H atoms were included in calculated positions, with a C–H distance of 0.95 Å, and were refined in the riding-model approximation, with  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2 U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$  of the carrier atom.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *POV-Ray* (Persistence of Vision Pty, 2004); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This research was funded in part by an NIH Area Grant (No. 1 R15 AI057408–01), as well as CCSU-AAUP research grants and CCSU Faculty Student research grants. ER was supported by an NSF REU grant (No. CHE0354012) at the University of Connecticut. MZ and JU were supported by NSF grant 0111511, and the diffractometer was funded by NSF grant 0087210, by Ohio Board of Regents grant CAP-491 and by YSU.

#### References

- Bruker (1999). SMART and SAINT-Plus. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chavarot, M., Ménage, S., Hamelin, O., Charnay, F., Pécaut, J. & Fontecave, M. (2003). *Inorg. Chem.* 42, 4810–4816.
- Costa, A. M., Jimeno, C., Gavenonis, J., Carroll, P. J. & Walsh, P. J. (2002). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 6929–6941.
- Desvergnes-Breuil, V., Hebbe, V., Dietrich-Buchecker, C., Sauvage, J.-P. & Lacour, J. (2003). *Inorg. Chem.* 42, 255–257.
- Fache, F., Schulz, E., Tommasino, M. L. & Lemaire, M. (2000). *Chem. Rev.* 100, 2159–2231.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Glagovich, N., Reed, E., Crundwell, G., Updergraff, J. B. III, Zeller, M. & Hunter, A. D. (2004a). Acta Cryst. E60, 0623–0625.

- Glagovich, N. M., Reed, E. M., Crundwell, G., Updergraff, J. B. III, Zeller, M. & Hunter, A. D. (2004b). Acta Cryst. E60, 01269–01270.
- Glagovich, N. M., Reed, E. M., Crundwell, G., Updergraff, J. B. III, Zeller, M. & Hunter, A. D. (2004c). Acta Cryst. E60, o2000–o2001.
- Mimoun, H., de Saint Laumer, J. Y., Giannini, L., Scopelliti, R. & Floriani, C. (1999). J. Am. Chem. Soc. **121**, 6158–6166.
- Müller, P. & Fruit, C. (2003). Chem. Rev. 103, 2905-2919.
- Persistence of Vision Pty (2004). Persistence of Vision Raytracer (POV-Ray). Version 3.6. http://www.povray.org/download/.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. Version 2.10. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Walsh, P. J., Lurain, A. E. & Balsells, J. (2003). Chem. Rev. 103, 3297-3344.
- Wilker, J. J., Gelasco, A., Pressler, M. A., Day, R. O. & Maroney, M. J. (1991). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 6342–6343.